arXiv:1512.07970v1 [math.GN] 25 Dec 2015

CARATHEODORY'S SOLUTION OF THE CAUCHY PROBLEM AND QUESTION Z.GRANDE

VOLODYMYR MYKHAYLYUK, VADYM MYRONYK

Abstract. It is shown that for a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ which is measurable with respect to the first variable and upper semicontinuous quasicontinuous and increasing with respect to the second variable there exists a Caratheodory's solution $y(x) = y_0 + \int\limits_{x_0}^{x} f(t, y(t))d\mu(t)$ of the Cauchy problem $y'(x) = f(x, y(x))$ with the initial condition $y(x_0) = y_0$. There are constructed examples which indicate to essentiality of condition of increasing and give the negative answer to a question of Z. Grande.

1. Introduction

According to Scorza Dragoni's classical theorem [4], for a function $f : [a, b] \times [c, d] \to \mathbb{R}$ which is measurable with respect to the first variable and continuous with respect to the second variable and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a set $A \subseteq [a, b] \times [c, d]$ such that $\mu(([a, b] \times [c, d]) \setminus A) < \varepsilon$ and the restriction $f|_A$ is jointly continuous. In particular, f is an $(L) - sup$-measurable, that is for every measurable function $\varphi : [a, b] \to [c, d]$ the function $f(x, \varphi(x))$ is measurable. This property plays an important role in the Caratheodory Differential Equations Theory (see [1]) and was developed by many mathematicians (see [5], [3] and the literature given there). The next result was obtained by Z. Grande in recent paper [2].

Theorem 1.1. *Let a bounded fuction $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *for every $x \in X$ the vertical section $f^x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is quasicontinuous and upper semicontinuous;*
2. *there exists a countable dense in $\mathbb{R}$ set B such that for every $y \in B$ the horizontal section $f_y : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable.*

Then f is an $(L) - sup$-measurable function.

In [2] Z. Grande posed the following question in the connection with Theorem 1.1.

Question 1.1 (Z. Grande, [2])**.** *Let a locally bounded function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies conditions* (1) *and* (2) *of Theorem 1.1. Does there exist a Caratheodory's solution of the Caushy problem $y'(x) = f(x, y(x))$ with the initial condition $y(x_0) = y_0$?*

In this paper we give a more general variant of Theorem 1.1 and apply it to show that under more general assumptions than in Question 1.1 there exists a unique maximal weak variant of Caratheodory's solution of the Caushy problem. This implies the positive answer to Question 1.1, if all vertical sections f^x are increasing. Moreover, we construct two examples showing the essentiality of condition of increasing and give the negative answer to Question 1.1, even when f depends on the second variable only and have the Darboux property.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 26B05, 28A05, 28a10.

Key words and phrases. Caratheodory's solution, quasicontinuity, semicontinuity, *sup*-measurability.

2. Measurability of Caratheodory superposition

For any function $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ and for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$ we put $f^x(y) = f_y(x) = f(x, y)$.

The following theorem is a more general variant of Theorem 1.1. It shows that the condition of boundness of f in Theorem 1.1 is not necessary.

Theorem 2.1. *Let a function $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *there exists a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ with $\mu(\mathbb{R} \setminus A) = 0$ such that for every $x \in A$ the vertical section $f^x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is quasicontinuous and upper semicontinuous;*
2. *there exists a dense subset $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $y \in B$ the horizontal section $f_y : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lebesgue measurable.*

Then f is $(L) - sup$-measurable.

Proof. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose a disjoint countable family $(I_{n,k})_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of intervals $I_{n,k} = (a_{n,k}, a_{n,k+1})$ such that

(a) $a_{n,k} \in B$;
(b) $a_{n,k+1} - a_{n,k} < \frac{1}{n}$;
(c) $\bigcup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_{n,k}, a_{n,k+1}) \bigcup \{a_{n,k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{R}$.

Now we put

$$f_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} \min\{n, \sup\limits_{t\in I_{n,k}} f(x, t)\}, \ y \in I_{n,k}, \\ f(x, a_{n,k}), \ y = a_{n,k}. \end{cases}$$

and show that $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} f_n(x, y) = f(x, y)$ for every $x \in A$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Fix $x_0 \in A$, $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f^{x_0} is upper semicontinuous at y_0, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f(x_0, y) \le f(x_0, y_0) + \varepsilon$ for all $y \in (y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta)$. Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_0 \ge \max\{f(x_0, y_0) + \varepsilon, \frac{1}{\delta}\}$. Let $n \ge n_0$. If $y_0 \in \{a_{n,k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, then $f_n(x_0, y_0) = f(x_0, y_0)$. We assume that $y_0 \notin \{a_{n,k} : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $y_0 \in (a_{n,m}, a_{n,m+1})$. According to (b) and the choice of n_0, we have $a_{n,m+1} - a_{n,m} < \frac{1}{n} \le \delta$. Therefore, $I_{n,m} \subseteq (y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta)$. This implies, in particular, that $f(x_0, y) \le f(x_0, y_0) + \varepsilon \le n_0 \le n$ for every $y \in I_{n,m}$. Now we have

$$f_n(x_0, y_0) = \min\{n, \sup_{y\in I_{n,m}} f(x_0, y)\} = \sup_{y\in I_{n,m}} f(x_0, y) \le f(x_0, y_0) + \varepsilon.$$

Using the inequality $f_n(x_0, y_0) \ge f(x_0, y_0)$ we obtain that

$$|f_n(x_0, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)| = f_n(x_0, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0) \le \varepsilon$$

for all $n \ge n_0$.

Let $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function. To obtain the measurability of $h(x) = f(x, g(x))$ it is sufficient to prove that all functions $h_n(x) = f_n(x, g(x))$ are measurable.

Fix an integer n and put $A_{n,k} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) = a_{n,k}\}$, $B_{n,k} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) \in I_{n,k}\}$. Clearly, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the sets $A_{n,k}$ and $B_{n,k}$ are measurable and $\mathbb{R} = \bigsqcup\limits_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (A_{n,k} \sqcup B_{n,k})$. Therefore, it is enough to prove that the restrictions $h_n|_{A_{n.k}}$ and $h_n|_{B_{n.k}}$ are measurable.

Since $h_n|_{A_{n.k}} = f_{a_{n,k}}|_{A_{n.k}}$ and $f_{a_{n,k}}$ is measurable, $h_n|_{A_{n.k}}$ is measurable.

We note that $h_n|_{B_{n.k}} = \gamma|_{B_{n.k}}$, where $\gamma(x) = \min\{n, \sup\limits_{t\in I_{n,k}} f(x, t)\}$. We show that γ is measurable. It follows from the quasicontinuity of f^x for $x \in A$, and the density of B that

$$\{x \in A : \gamma(x) > \alpha\} = \bigcup_{b\in B\cap I_{n,k}} \{x \in A : f(x, b) > \alpha\}.$$

Therefore, condition (2) implies that $\{x \in A : \gamma(x) > \alpha\}$ ia a measurable set for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, $\gamma|_A$ is a measurable function, hence γ is measurable. Therefore, $h_n|_{B_{n.k}}$ is measurable. □

3. Caratheodory's solution for functions with increasing vertical sections

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : [a,b] \times [c,d] \to \mathbb{R}$ be $(L)-$ sup-measurable, $A \subseteq [a,b]$ be a set with $\mu([a,b] \setminus A) = 0$ such that for every $x \in A$ the vertical section f^x is upper semicontinuous, let $(y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable functions $y_n : [a,b] \to [c,d]$ which converges to a measurable function $y_0 : [a,b] \to [c,d]$ pointwisely on $[a,b]$. Then for the function $z : [a,b] \to [c,d]$, $z(x) = \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} f(x, y_n(x))$, we have*

$$\int_a^b z(x)d\mu(x) \leq \int_a^b f(x, y_0(x))d\mu(x).$$

Proof. We show firstly that

$$z(x) \leq f(x, y_0(x))$$

for every $x \in A$.

Fix $x \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Since f^x is upper semicontinuous at $y_0(x)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$f(x,y) < f(x, y_0(x)) + \varepsilon$$

for all $y \in [c,d] \cap (y_0(x) - \delta, y_0(x) + \delta)$. Using $\lim_{n\to\infty} y_n(x) = y_0(x)$ we obtain that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_n(x) \in (y_0(x) - \delta, y_0(x) + \delta)$ for all $n \geq N$. Thus, $f(x, y_n(x)) < f(x, y_0(x)) + \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Therefore, $\overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} f(x, y_n(x)) \leq f(x, y_0(x)) + \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. Hence,

$$z(x) = \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} f(x, y_n(x)) \leq f(x, y_0(x)).$$

Since f is an $(L)-$ *sup*-measurable function, the function $f(x, y_0(x))$ is measurable. It remains to integrate this inequality on $[a,b]$. □

Theorem 3.1. *Let a function $f : [a,b] \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions:*

1. *there exists a set $A \subseteq [a,b]$ with $\mu(A) = b - a$ such that for every $x \in A$ the vertical section $f^x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is quasicontinuous and upper semicontinuous;*
2. *there exists an everywhere dense set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $y \in B$ the horizontal section $f_y : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is measurable;*
3. *there exists an integrable function $\varphi : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(x,y)| \leq \varphi(x)$ for every $(x,y) \in A \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Then for every $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an unique maximal absolutely continuous function $z_0 : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ in the set of all absolutely continuous functions $z : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ with $z(x_2) - z(x_1) \leq \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t, z(t))d\mu(t)$ for arbitrary $a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq b$ such that $z_0(a) = y_0$ and

$$z_0(x_2) - z_0(x_1) \leq \int_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t, z_0(t))d\mu(t)$$

for every $a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq b$.

If, moreover, f satisfies the condition

4. *for every $x \in A$ the vertical section $f^x : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is increasing,*

then $z_0(x) = y_0 + \int_a^x f(t, z_0(t))d\mu(t)$.

Proof. We denote by C_φ the set of all absolutely continuous functions $z : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ with $|z(x_2) - z(x_1)| \leq \int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} \varphi(t)d\mu(t)$ for every $a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq b$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a set of all absolutely continuous functions $z \in C_\varphi$ such that $z(x_2) - z(x_1) \leq \int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t, z(t))d\mu(t)$ for every $a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq b$ and $z(a) = y_0$.

We show that $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$. Consider the function $w : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$, $w(x) = y_0 - \int\limits_a^x \varphi(t)d\mu(t)$. Clearly, w is an absolutely continuous function, because φ is integrable. Moreover, $w(a) = y_0$ and

$$w(x_2) - w(x_1) = -\int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} \varphi(t)d\mu(t) \leq -\int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} |f(t, w(t))|d\mu(t) \leq \int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t, w(t))d\mu(t)$$

for every $a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq b$.

Now we show that $\mathcal{F}$ has a maximal element.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be a linearly ordered set. We show that $\mathcal{A}$ is upper bounded in $\mathcal{F}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ has a maximal element, then the statement is clear. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ have not any maximal element.

Note that

$$z(x) \leq y_0 + \int\limits_a^x \varphi(t)d\mu(t) = w_0(x)$$

for every $z \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $x \in [a,b]$. Therefore, in particular, $z(x) \leq w_0(x)$ for every $z \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $x \in [a,b]$.

We put $z_1(x) = \sup\limits_{z \in \mathcal{A}} z(x)$. Clearly, $z_1(x) \leq w_0(x)$ for every $x \in [a,b]$. We show that there exists an increasing sequence $(w_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of function $w_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $z_1(x) = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} w_n(x)$ for every $x \in [a,b]$. We consider the set

$$I = \left\{ \int\limits_a^b w(x)d\mu(x) : w \in \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

and choose an increasing sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of reals $\alpha_n \in I$ such that $\sup I = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n$. Now for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we choose $w_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha_n = \int\limits_a^b w_n(x)d\mu(x)$. The linear ordering of $\mathcal{A}$ implies that $(w_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ increases. Suppose that $z_1 \neq \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} w_n$. Then there exists $w \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $w \geq w_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\int\limits_a^b w(x)d\mu(x) = \sup I$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a linearly ordered set, w is a maximal element in $\mathcal{A}$, which is impossible. Thus, $z_1 = \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} w_n$.

We show that $z_1 \in \mathcal{F}$. Fix $a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq b$. By Lemma 3.1 we have

$$z_1(x_2) - z_1(x_1) = \lim_{n\to\infty} (w_n(x_2) - w_n(x_1)) \leq \overline{\lim_{n\to\infty}} \int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t, w_n(t))d\mu(t) \leq \int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t, z_1(t))d\mu(t).$$

Moreover,

$$|z_1(x_2) - z_1(x_1)| = \lim_{n\to\infty} |w_n(x_2) - w_n(x_1)| \leq \int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} \varphi(t)d\mu(t).$$

Thus, every linearly ordered set $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is upper bounded. Then, according to Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma, $\mathcal{F}$ has a maximal element z_0.

We prove that z_0 is a unique. Let z_0 and u_0 be maximal elements in $\mathcal{F}$. Suppose that $z_0 \neq u_0$. For definiteness let $a < x_1 < x_2 \leq b$ be such that $z_0(x_1) > u_0(x_1)$ and $u_0(x_2) > z_0(x_2)$. Taking into account that $u_0(a) = z_0(a) = y_0$ we found a maximal $x_3 \in [a.x_1)$ such that $z_0(x_3) = u_0(x_3)$. We choose a maximal $x_4 \in (x_1, x_2)$ such that $z_0(x_4) = u_0(x_4)$. Then $z_0(x) > u_0(x)$ for all $x \in (x_3, x_4)$. We consider the function

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} u_0(x), & x \in [a, x_3] \cup [x_4, b], \\ z_0(x), & x \in (x_3, x_4). \end{cases}$$

Since $u_0, z_0 \in \mathcal{F}$, $v \in \mathcal{F}$, which contradicts to the maximality of u_0.

Now we prove that $z_0(x) = y_0 + \int\limits_a^x f(t, z_0(t))d\mu(t)$ if f satisfies condition (4). We put

$$v_0(x) = y_0 + \int\limits_a^x f(t, z_0(t))d\mu(t).$$

It follows from (3) that $v_0 \in C_\varphi$. Moreover, since $z_0 \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$z_0(x) = y_0 + z_0(x) - z_0(a) \leq y_0 + \int\limits_a^x f(t, z_0(t))d\mu(t) = v_0(x)$$

for every $x \in [a, b]$. Now for every $a \leq x_1 < x_2 \leq b$ condition (4) implies that

$$v_0(x_2) - v_0(x_1) = \int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t, z_0(t))d\mu(t) \leq \int\limits_{x_1}^{x_2} f(t, v_0(t))d\mu(t).$$

Thus, $v_0 \in \mathcal{F}$. Since z_0 is a maximal, $z_0 = v_0$. □

4. Examples

The following example shows that Question 1.1 has the negative answer and condition (4) in Theorem 3.1 is essential.

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a quasicontinuous upper semicontinuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$ such that the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} y'(x) = f(y(x)), \\ y(0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

has not any Caratheodory's solution.

Proof. We consider the function $f(y) = \begin{cases} -1, & y > 0, \\ 1, & y \leq 0. \end{cases}$ Clearly, f is a quasicontinuous upper semicontinuous function.

Suppose that there exists a Caratheodory's solution y_0 of the Cauchy problem (1), i.e.

$$y_0(x) = \int\limits_0^x f(y(t))dt. \tag{2}$$

Consider the set $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : y_0(x) = 0\}$. Since y_0 is absolutely continuous, F is closed. We show that $F \neq \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that $[0, 1] \subseteq F$. Then $0 = y_0(1) = \int\limits_0^1 f(y(t))dt = \int\limits_0^1 dt = 1$, a contradiction.

Thus $F \neq \mathbb{R}$, that is, $G = \mathbb{R} \setminus F \neq \emptyset$. Let $G = \bigsqcup_{s\in S} I_s$, where $I_s = (a_s, b_s)$. Fix $s_0 \in S$ and put $I_0 = (a_0, b_0)$. For difiniteness we assume that $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $a_0 \in F$, that is, $y_0(a_0) = 0$. Moreover, $y_0(x) \neq 0$ for every $x \in I_0$. Taking into account that y_0 is a continuous function we obtain that either $y(x) > 0$ for all $x \in I_0$, or $y(x) < 0$ for all $x \in I_0$.

Let $y(x) > 0$ for all $x \in I_0$. For any $x_0 \in I_0$ we have

$$0 < y_0(x_0) = y_0(x_0) - y_0(a_0) = \int_{a_0}^{x_0} y'(x)dx = -\int_{a_0}^{x_0} dx = -(x_0 - a_0) < 0,$$

a contradiction. The case of $y(x) < 0$ for all $x \in I_0$ is similar. □

Remark 4.2. *For the given function f the Cauchy problem*

$$\begin{cases} y'(x) = f(y(x)), \\ y(x_0) = y_0, \end{cases}$$

has not any Caratheodory's solution $y : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ for every initial condition.

A function $f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *Darboux function*, if for every interval $X \subseteq [a, b]$ the set $f(X)$ is an interval.

The following example shows that Question 1.1 has the negative answer even when f is a Darboux function with respect to the second variable.

Example 4.1. *There exists an upper semicontinuous quasicontinuous Darboux function $f : \mathbb{R} \to [-1, 1]$ such that the Cauchy problem* (1) *has not any Caratheodory's solution.*

Proof. We consider the function

$$\begin{cases} 1, y \leq 0 \\ \sin \frac{\pi}{y}, y > 0\,. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, f is a upper semicontinuous quasicontinuous Darboux function.

Suppose that there exists an absolutely continuous function $y_0 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ which is a Caratheodory's solution of problem (1), i.e. y_0 has the form (2). We show that there exists $x_0 > 0$ such that $y_0(x_0) > 0$. Assume that $y_0(x) \leq 0$ for all $x > 0$. Then according to (2) for all $x > 0$ we have

$$y(x) = \int_0^x f(y(t))dt = x,$$

a contradiction.

We choose $x_0 > 0$ such that $y_0(x_0) > 0$ and find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_0(x_0) > \frac{1}{2n_0-1}$. Since $y_0(0) < \frac{1}{2n_0} < y_0(x_0)$, there exists a maximal $a \in (0, x_0)$ such that $y_0(a) = \frac{1}{2n_0}$. Then $y_0(x) > \frac{1}{2n_0}$ for all $x \in (a, x_0]$. Since $y_0(a) < \frac{1}{2n_0-1} < y_0(x_0)$, there exists a minimal $b \in (a, x_0)$ such that $y_0(b) = \frac{1}{2n_0-1}$. Then $y_0(x) < \frac{1}{2n_0-1}$ for all $x \in (a, b)$.

Hence $y_0(x) \in (\frac{1}{2n_0}, \frac{1}{2n_0-1})$, in particular, $f(y_0(x)) < 0$ for all $x \in (a, b)$. Now we have

$$\frac{1}{2n_0-1} = y_0(b) = y_0(a) + \int_a^b f(y_0(x))dx \leq y_0(a) = \frac{1}{2n_0},$$

a contradiction. □

References

[1] FILIPPOV A. *Differential equations with discontinuous righthand sides*, Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht, 1988.
[2] GRANDE Z. *On the measurability of functions with quasi-continuous and upper semi-continuous vertical sections*, Math. Slovaca **63**, 4 (2013), 793-798.
[3] KWIECINSKA G. *On the Caratheodory superposition of multifunctions and an existence theorem*, Math. Slovaca **64**, 2 (2014), 315-332.
[4] SCORZA DRAGONI G. *Un teorema sulle funzioni continue rispetto ad una e misurabili rispetto ad unaltru variabili*, Red. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **17** (1948), 102-106.
[5] TOLSTONOGOV A. *Scorza-Dragoni's theorem for multi-valued mappings with domain of definition*, Mat. Zametki **48**, 5 (1990) 109-120 (in Russian).

Chernivtsi National University, Department of Mathematical Analysis, Department of Algebra and Computer Science, Ukraine
E-mail address: vmykhaylyuk@ukr.net, vadmyron@gmail.com